JS 44 (Rev. 09/11)	Case 2:11-cv-0	0649213FILD	COMI	SHUE T10/	18/1 Hage 1 bf 1	Lip uired by law, except as provided		
I (a) PLAINTIFFS			States in			uired by law, except as provided court for the purpose of initiating ey, 55 East Court Street,		
Juanita Lewis 4821 Hawthorne Street, Philadelphia PA 19124				David Heckler, Bucks County District Attorney, 55 East Court Street, Doylestown, PA 18901 County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Bucks				
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Philadelphia (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF (ASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LANDANVOLVED.				
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A. Jeremy H.G. Ibrahim P.O. 1943	ddress, and Telephone Number) Box 1025 Chadds For			Attorneys (If Known)				
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in	One Rox Only)	III. C	TIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff) and One Box for Defendant)		
7 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)			(For Diversity Cases Only) PTF DEF Citizen of This State				
🗇 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship	of Parties in Item III)	Citiz	en of Another State	2	Another State		
				ren or Subject of a preign Country	3			
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(Place an "X" in One Box One	79) T\$		ORFERTURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES		
□ 110 insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment Æ Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property	☐ 315 Airplane Product Liability ☐ 320 Assault, Libel &	PERSONAL INJUR 365 Personal Injury Product Liability Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPE 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damag Product Liability PERSONAL PROPE 370 Other Fraud 510 Motions to Vacasente Liability PRISONER PETITIC 510 Motions to Vacasente Liability 510 Motions to Vacasente Liability 530 General 535 Death Penalty 540 Mandamus & Company Conditions of Confinement	al RTY O :	25 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 90 Other LABOR 710 Fair Labor Standards Act 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations 740 Railway Labor Act 751 Family and Medical Leave Act 790 Other Labor Litigation 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act IMMIGRATION 462 Naturalization Applicatio 463 Habeas Corpus Alien Detaince (Prisoner Petition) 465 Other Immigration Actions	□ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS □ 820 Copyrights □ 830 Patent □ 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY □ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XV1 □ 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS □ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS — Third Party 26 USC 7609	375 False Claims Act 400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 893 Environmental Matters 895 Freedom of Information Act 896 Arbitration 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes		
3 1 Original □ 2 R	Cilioved item	Remanded from Appellate Court	R:	einstated or 5 Tran copened 5 Tran copened (spec	sferred from 6 Multidis her district 6 Litigationstatures unless diversity):			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTI	42 U.S.C. 1983				and state law elaims.			
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER F.R.C.P	IS A CLASS ACTION	DN	DEMAND \$	JURY DEMAN	iy if demanded in complaint: D: Yes		
VIII. RELATED CAS	SE(S) (See instructions):			m R. Padova	DOCKET NUMBER	10-4966		
DATE		SIGNATURE OF	,	//		OCT 1 8 2011		
10/15/2011 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	(-		·a	nu_		001_1.0.4011		
	AMOUNT	APPLYING IFF	·	JUDGE	MAG. :	JUDGE		

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

11-cv-06492-JP Document 1 Filed 10/18/11 Page 2 of 11

NNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT DEFEND of assignment to appropriate calendar.

Address of Plaintiff: 4821 Hawthorne Street Philadelphia PA 19124

849 S

Attorney I.D.#

			, <u>1</u>	ATO:	•
Address of Defendant: 55 East Court Street Doylestown P	<u>A 18901</u>				
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: 55 East Court (Use Reverse	3/00 / Dr Additional Obassi				_
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate	party with any parent corporation	n and any	publicly held corpo	oration owning 10%	or more of its stock?
(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in	accordance with Fed.H.Clv.P. 7.1	(a))		100-	9
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?			Yes		/
Case Number: 10-cv-4966 Judge: Hon. John R. Pad	l <u>ova</u> Date Terminated: <u>March 9th</u>	<u> 2011</u>			
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to a		/	/		
Is this case related to property included in an earlier no					
Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow action in this court?	out of the same fransaction as a p	prior suit p	pending or within or Yes		eminaed
Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a terminated action in this court?	patent already in suit or any earli	ier numbe	ered case pending o	or within one year p	reviously
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, so	ocial security appeal, or pro se civ	vii rights c	ase filed by the san	ne individual?	
4. 15 1115 0000 0 000010 0			Yes		
CIVIL: (Place in one category only) A. Federal Question Cases:	and All Other Contracts	B. <i>E</i> 1. E	Diversity Jurisdiction Insurance Co	n Cases: ontract and Othe	er Contracts
Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, a	ALIO ALI OLITO CONTROLL		☐ Airplane Pers		
2. FELA			Assault, Defa		
3. Jones Act-Personal Injury			☐ Marine Perso		
4. Antitrust			☐ Motor Vehicle		y
5. Patent			6.	□ Other Perso	onal Injury (Please
6. Labor-Management Relations		spec	cify)		
		-	□ Products Lial	bility	
7 X Civil Rights			☐ Products Lial		os
8. 2 Habeas Corpus			☐ All other Dive		
9. Securities Act(s) Cases				(Please speci	fy)
10. Social Security Review Cases					
 All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify) 	ARBITRATION CERTIF	FICATIO	ON		
S List breaky and	(Check Appropriate Cat				
(i. <u>Jeremy H.G. Ibrahim</u> counsel of record do hereby cert X Persuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2),	that to the best of my knowledge	and belie	of, the damages rec	overable in this civi	I action case exceed
the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs; Relief other than monetary damages is sought.					
DATE: October 15th 2011	Juni	<u>~</u>		53019	
— Att	omey-at-Law	P 38		Attorney I.D.#	OCT 1 8 2011
NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there	nas been compliance with F.A.C	,,ı . UU.			
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is no	t related to any case now pend	ling or wi	thin one year prev	riously terminated	action in this court
except as noted above.	Such				
DATE: October 15th 2011	guran		_	53019 Attornev I.D.#	

Alterney at-Law

CIV. 609 (6/08)

Oh 2:11-cv-06492-JP Document 1 Filed 10/18/11 Page 3 of 11 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

JUANITA LEWIS	: CIVIL ACTION :				
v. DAVID HECKLER, DISTRICT ATTORNEY BUCKS COUNTY	NO. 32 6498				
for plaintiff shall complete a Case Managemetime of filing the complaint and serve a copy forth on the reverse side of this form.) In the plaintiff regarding said designation, that defer clerk of court and serve on the plaintiff and Designation Form specifying the track to whas signed.	e and Delay Reduction Plan of this court, counselent Track Designation Form in all civil cases at the y on all defendants. (See § 1:03 of the plan set e event that a defendant does not agree with the endant shall, with its first appearance, submit to the ind all other parties, a Case Management Track hich that defendant believes the case should be				
SELECT ONE OF THE FOLLOWING CASE MANAGEMENT TRACKS: (a) Habour Corpus – Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 through § 2255.					
(a) Habeas Corpus — Cases Broagin and a 20 th Corpus 5 to					
(b) Social Security – Cases requesting review and Human Services denying plaintiff Social	ew of a decision of the Secretary of Health ocial Security Benefits.				
(c) Arbitration – Cases required to be design	nated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2.()				
• •					
(d) Asbestos – Cases involving claims for pereceptor of exposure to asbestos.					
(d) Asbestos – Cases involving claims for percent exposure to asbestos.	ersonal injury or property damage from () ot fall into tracks (a) through (d) that are nat need special or intense management by				

October 15th 2011 Jeremy H.G. Ibrahim Plaintiff

Date Attorney-at-law Attorney for 301 1 8 2011

215-568-1943 610-456-2727 jeremyibrahim.esq@verizon.net

Telephone (Civ. 660) 10/02 **FAX Number**

E-Mail Address



IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

JUANITA LEWIS : JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

4821 HAWTHORNE STREET :

PHILADELPHIA, PA 19124 :

: CIVIL ACTION NO.

Plaintiff :

vs. § 2 649

DAVID HECKLER :

BUCKS COUNTY :

DISTRICT ATTORNEY : 55 EAST COURT STREET :

DOYLESTOWN, PA 18901

•

Defendant :

COMPLAINT

AND NOW, Comes plaintiff, by and through her attorney, and demands damages from the defendant and in support thereof sets forth the following allegations and claims:

I. INTRODUCTION - THE PARTIES

- Plaintiff Juanita Lewis is an adult individual, citizen and resident of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, residing at 4831 Hawthorne Street, Philadelphia, PA 19124.
- 2. Defendant, David Heckler, is the elected District Attorney of Bucks County located in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, and operates, manages, directs and controls the Bucks County District Attorney's Office. This defendant was acting under color of state law, pursuant to either official policy, custom or practice. This defendant is being sued in both his individual and

official capacity. This defendant was acting in concert and conspiracy with other unknown defendants at the Bucks County District Attorney's Office..

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 3. This is a civil action seeking damages against the defendants for committing acts that deprived the Plaintiff of rights secured under the Constitution and the laws of the United States of America pursuant to Title 42 U.S.C. §. 1983 and state law tort claims.
- 4. This Court's jurisdiction to adjudicate plaintiff's civil rights claims is predicated, inter alia, upon 28 U.S.C. sec. 1331 and 1343. Plaintiff's federal claims arise pursuant to 42 U.S.C.§ 1983 and 1988. Plaintiffs also invoke the Court's supplemental jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. sec. 1367.
- 5. Venue is proper in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) because all parties reside or maintain their principal place of business in the District, and because all claims accrued within the District.

III. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 6. Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference the averments set forth in preceding paragraphs one (1) through five (5).
- 7. On or about September 23rd 2008, plaintiff learned that her son and daughter-in-law were arrested and charged by the Bensalem Police Department. Plaintiff was advised that bail had been set at \$75,000.00 10% (\$7500.00) for her son and \$25,000.00 10% (\$2500.00) for her daughter-in-law.
- 8. Plaintiff secured a total of \$10,000.00 from friends and family to pay the ten percent bail of her son and daughter-in-law. Plaintiff proceeded to Pennsylvania Magisterial District Court

07-01-01, presided over by defendant District Justice Leonard J. Brown. Upon attempting to pay bail at the clerks counter, defendant Brown approached the plaintiff. Defendant Brown was not robed nor was plaintiff in court. Plaintiff was advised by defendant Brown that dogs would sniff the cash and if the dogs alerted that the plaintiff would be arrested. Defendant Brown proceeded to inquire of the plaintiff as to the source of the cash. Plaintiff then left the District Court with the cash.

- 9. Plaintiff proceeded to a bank and changed the cash from small bills to larger bills. Unbeknownst to the plaintiff, defendant Brown had alerted the defendant Bensalem Township and the defendant police officers of the plaintiff's attempt to post bail. Also unbeknownst to the plaintiff, defendants surreptitiously followed and conducted surveillance of the plaintiff. Upon leaving the bank, plaintiff returned to her car and drove away. Defendant Bensalem Township directed that the plaintiff be stopped and the cash seized from her person. Plaintiff was stopped on the highway by defendant Bensalem Township police. Defendant Bensalem Township seized plaintiff's purse and \$10,000.00 cash therein. Plaintiff returned to the Bensalem Police Department to obtain her property. Plaintiff learned that her purse was searched without her consent and the \$10,000.00 was seized for investigation and as evidence. Plaintiff was not then nor ever charged nor arrested. The \$10,000.00 was placed on a property receipt and never returned to the plaintiff.
- 10. Plaintiff filed a civil rights action (EDPA Civil Action No. 10-cv-4966) against the Bensalem Township Police Department and others seeking return of her ten thousand dollars and other relief. In the course of that case, plaintiff was for the first time informed on February 4th 2011 that the funds were turned over to the defendant via check# 1390 on October 9th 2008, and remains in the coffers of the defendant's forfeiture account account to this date.
- 11. The defendant was required by Pennsylvania state law to institute proceedings for the issuance of process for the seizure of the plaintiff's property inasmuch as it was a seizure

without process. Defendant harbors the belief that a forfeiture petition (Bucks County Court of Common Pleas MD-2514-2008) filed in December of 2008 accounts for the required process. A review of the petition, however, clearly shows that the Forfeiture Petition related to seizures occurring on September 22nd 2008 and do not list the \$10,000.00 seized from the plaintiff on September 23rd 2008. The action for forfeiture was subject to a two year statute of limitations. This time period has lapsed.

- 12. Plaintiff provided fair warning to the defendant that plaintiff's property was being held in violation of her constitutional rights, to wit, plaintiff notified defendant on August 16th 2011 via letter, and again on August 25th 2011 via email with a copy of the initial letter attached. Prior tot he filing of the instant action, plaintiff through counsel informed the defendant via telephone on at least five (5) occasions that the plaintiff's property was being held unlawfully.
- 13. Plaintiff was not a criminal suspect when her property was seized and was never charged with wrongdoing.
- 14. The defendant alone has custody of the plaintiff's property, such retention is unreasonable, and constitutes an ongoing seizure and violation of plaintiff's constitutional rights.
 - 15. Defendant is the only authority that can release and return plaintiff's property.
- 16. As a direct and proximate result of the defendants actions, plaintiff suffers serious and permanent trauma including distrust of law enforcement and the judicial process.
- 17. At all times material hereto, the defendant, Bensalem Township, was charged with the responsibility and duty of testing, hiring, training and supervising employees of the Bensalem Police Department, including the defendants, and all police officers who were present during the incident complained of herein.

- 18. As a direct and proximate result of the actions and/or failure to act the defendant, plaintiff suffers and continues to suffer pain, financial loss, and emotional distress, some or all of which may be permanent.
- 19. At all times relevant to this Complaint, the defendant was acting in defendant's official capacity and acting within the course and scope of defendant's authority, and under color of state law.
- 20. At all times relevant to this Complaint, the actions taken by the defendant deprived the plaintiff of constitutional and statutory rights.

IV. CLAIMS

COUNT I

UNREASONABLE SEARCH & SEIZURE 42 U.S.C. § 1983

- 21. Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference, as if specifically pleaded, the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 20 above.
- 22. As a direct and proximate result of defendant's conduct, committed under color of state law, plaintiff was deprived of her right to be free from unlawful detention, arrest and prosecution, unreasonable search, to be secure in her person and property, and to due process of law. As a result, plaintiff suffers and continues to suffer harm, in violation of plaintiff's rights under the laws and Constitution of the United States of America, in particular the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments thereto, and Title 42 U.S.C. §1983.
- 23. As a direct and proximate result of the acts the defendant, plaintiff sustained pain, permanent injury, emotional distress, and financial losses, all to plaintiff's detriment.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff demands judgement against the defendant for compensatory damages in an amount in excess of \$100,000.00, for punitive damages in an amount in excess of \$100,000.00, plus interest, costs, attorney's fees and such other relief as this Honorable Court may deem just.

COUNT II

FAILURE TO TRAIN AND SUPERVISE 42 U.S.C. §1983

- 24. Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference, as if specifically pleaded, the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 23 above.
- 25. The defendant has encouraged, tolerated, ratified and has been deliberately indifferent to the following patterns, practices and customs, as well as the need for adequate training, supervision and/or discipline in the areas of:
 - A. The proper and lawful procedure procure issuance of process when property is seized without process.
 - B. The proper and lawful procedure regarding the seizure and search of property taken from a citizen.
 - C. The proper and lawful disposition of property seized from an uncharged citizen.
- 26. As a direct and proximate result of the above averments, plaintiff has been deprived of her rights secured by the United States Constitution pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §. 1983.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff demands judgement against the defendant for compensatory damages in an amount in excess of \$100,000.00, for punitive damages in an amount in excess of \$100,000.00, plus interest, costs, attorneys fees and such other relief as this Honorable Court may deem just.

COUNT III

PENDENT STATE CLAIMS

- 32. Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference, as if specifically pleaded, the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 31 above.
- 33. The acts, omissions, and conduct of the defendant in this cause of action constitute but not limited to: intentional infliction of emotional distress, conversion, invasion of privacy and due process violations of the Constitution of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and state law. This Court has supplemental jurisdiction to hear and adjudicate these claims.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff demands judgement against the defendant for compensatory damages in an amount in excess of \$100,000.00, for punitive damages in an amount in excess of \$100,000.00, plus interest, costs, attorneys fees and such other relief as this Honorable Court may deem just.

ALL COUNTS

34. Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference, as if specifically pleaded, the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 33 above.

WHEREFORE, plaintiffs respectfully requests that this Honorable Court enter judgment in favor of the plaintiffs and against all defendants and to award plaintiffs the following relief:

- (a) Compensatory damages against all defendants;
- (b) Punitive damages against all defendants;

- (c) Plaintiff's attorney's fees, expert witness fees and all other costs of suit; and
- (d) Such other relief as the Court deems appropriate.

Date: October 15th 2011

(e) A jury trial as to each defendant and as to each count.

Respectfully submitted, Jeremy H.G. Ibrahim, Esquire

Jefemy H.G. Ibrahim Attorney for Plaintiff

P.O. Box 1025

Chadds Ford, Pennsylvania 19317

(215) 568-1943